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Tetraquark problem in QCD

Hadrons are color-singlet bound states of quarks and gluons. Mesons are essentially made of $\bar{q}q$. Baryons are essentially made of $qqq$. Are there other types of structure for bound states (exotics)? Tetraquarks would be made of $\bar{q}qqq$. Pentaquarks would be made of $\bar{q}qqqq$. Possibility considered long ago by many authors (Jaffe, 1977).
However, theoretical difficulties arise in QCD.

We concentrate in the following on the tetraquark problem; pentaquarks can be treated in the same way.

The difficulty is related to the fact that a tetraquark field, local or nonlocal, made of a pair of quark and a pair of antiquark fields, which would be color-gauge invariant, could be decomposed, by Fierz transformations, into a combination of products of color-singlet bilinear operators of quark-antiquark pairs.

For instance, in local form

\[ T(x) = (\overline{q}q\overline{q}q)(x) \sim \sum (\overline{q}q)(x)(\overline{q}q)(x), \]

where \((\overline{q}q)(x)\) are themselves color-singlet.
However, color-singlet \((\overline{qq})(x)\)'s essentially describe ordinary meson fields or states. The above decomposition is suggestive of a property that tetraquarks would be factorizable into independent mesons and could at best be bound states or resonances of mesons, called also molecular tetraquarks, and not genuine bound states of two quarks and two antiquarks, resulting from the direct confinement of the four constituents.

What would be, on phenomenological grounds, the difference of the two types of bound state, since both of them would be represented by poles in the hadronic sector?
Meson-meson interaction forces are short-range and weak, as compared to the strong long-distance confining forces.

Therefore, molecular type tetraquarks, would be loosely bound states, with relatively large space extensions, while tetraquarks, which would be formed directly by confining forces, would be more tightly bound. The latter are called compact tetraquarks.

Compact tetraquarks would also exist in multiplicities, since confinement is independent of flavor.

The above qualitative differences have their influence on phenomenological quantities, like the number of states, decay modes, decay widths and transition amplitudes.
For more than ten years, many tetraquark candidate states have been signalled by several experiments: Belle, BaBar, BESIII, LHCb, CDF, D0, CMS. Ordinary meson structures could not fit their properties.

Some of the candidates have disappeared or were invalidated, but there is still a certain number of states which might be interpreted as tetraquarks. Intense theoretical activity around the extraction of their physical properties and their interpretation.

We will be interested here by the qualitative properties of compact tetraquarks.

To this end we will have recourse to the large-$N_c$ limit of QCD.
QCD at large $N_c$

Framework: $SU(N_c)$ gauge theory, with quarks in the fundamental representation, considered in the limit $N_c \to \infty$ with $g \sim 1/N_c^{1/2}$. (’t Hooft, 1974.)

In this limit, QCD catches the main properties of confinement, while being simplified with respect to secondary complications. $1/N_c$ plays the role of a perturbative parameter.

Properties of the theory analyzed by Witten (1979).
Consider a quark color-singlet bilinear operator (a current) and its two-point function.

\[ j(x) = (\overline{q}q)(x), \quad \langle j(x)j^\dagger(0) \rangle. \]

\(N_c\) — leading and subleading diagrams:

- (a) \(O(N_c)\)
- (b) \(O(N_c)\)
- (c) \(O(N_c)\)
- (d) \(O(N_c)\)
- (e) \(O(N_c^0)\)
- (f) \(O(N_c^{-1})\)

Intermediate states of leading diagrams are color-singlet mesons, made of quark-antiquark pairs and planar gluons.
\[ \int d^4x e^{ip \cdot x} \langle j(x) j^\dagger(0) \rangle \xrightarrow{N_c \to \infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{f_{M_n}^2 M_n^2}{p^2 - M_n^2}. \]

The spectrum is saturated by an infinite number of free stable mesons. Infinite number dictated by asymptotic freedom.

\[ \langle 0 | j_\mu | M(p) \rangle = p_\mu f_M, \quad f_M = O(N_c^{1/2}), \quad M_n = O(N_c^0). \]

Many-meson states contribute only to subleading orders.
Interaction forces are also classified with respect to $N_c$.

Consider 3-point and 4-point functions of currents:

\[ \langle j(x) j(y) j(z) \rangle, \quad \langle j(x) j(y) j(z) j(t) \rangle. \]
- Three-meson interaction $\sim N_c^{-1/2}$.
- Four-meson interaction $\sim N_c^{-1}$.

Meson decay widths:

$$\Gamma(M) = O(N_c^{-1}).$$

$\implies$ Mesons are stable at large-$N_c$. One of the main properties of confinement.
Can we have similar predictions with tetraquarks?

\[ T(x) = (\overline{q}qqq)(x) \quad \text{(color singlet)} \]

\[ \langle T(x)T^\dagger(0) \rangle \xrightarrow{N_c \to \infty} \langle j(x)j^\dagger(0) \rangle \langle j(x)j^\dagger(0) \rangle. \]

Equivalent to the propagation of two free mesons. (Coleman, 1980.)

No tetraquark poles can appear at this order.

For a long time, this fact has been considered as a theoretical proof of the non-existence of tetraquarks as elementary stable particles, surviving in the large-$N_c$ limit, like the ordinary mesons.
Recently, Weinberg (2013) observed that if tetraquarks exist as bound states in the large-$N_c$ limit with finite masses, even if they contribute to subleading diagrams, the crucial point is the qualitative property of their decay widths: are they broad or narrow? In the latter case, they might be observable. He showed that, generally, they should be narrow, with decay widths of the order of $1/N_c$, which is compatible with the stability assumption in the large-$N_c$ limit.

Knecht and Peris (2013) showed that in a particular exotic channel, tetraquarks should even be narrower, with decay widths of the order of $1/N_c^2$.

Cohen and Lebed (2014) showed, in more general exotic channels, with an analysis based on the analyticity properties of two-meson scattering amplitudes, that the decay widths should indeed be of the order of $1/N_c^2$. 
Line of approach

Study of exotic and cryptoexotic tetraquark properties, through the analysis of meson-meson scattering amplitudes.

Exotics: contain four different quark flavors.

Cryptoexotics: contain three different quark flavors.

Four-point correlation functions of color-singlet quark bilinears,

\[ j_{ab} = \bar{q}_a q_b, \]

having coupling with a meson \( M_{ab} \):

\[ \langle 0 | j_{ab} | M_{ab} \rangle = f_{M_{ab}}; \quad f_M \sim N_c^{1/2}. \]

Spin and parity ignored; not relevant for the qualitative aspects.
Consider all possible channels where a tetraquark may be present.

To be sure that a QCD diagram may contain a tetraquark contribution, through a pole term, one has to check that it receives a four-quark contribution in its $s$-channel singularities, plus additional gluon singularities that do not modify the $N_c$-behavior of the diagram.

If the tetraquark contains quarks and antiquarks with masses $m_j, j = a, b, c, d$, then the diagram should have a four-particle cut starting at $s = (m_a + m_b + m_c + m_d)^2$.

Its existence is checked with the use of the Landau equations.

Diagrams that do not have $s$-channel singularities, or have only two-particle singularities (quark-antiquark), cannot contribute to the formation of tetraquarks at their $N_c$-leading order. They should not be taken into account for the $N_c$-behavior analysis of the tetraquark properties.
Exotic tetraquarks

Four distinct quark flavors, denoted 1,2,3,4, with meson currents

\[ j_{12} = \bar{q}_1 q_2, \quad j_{34} = \bar{q}_3 q_4, \quad j_{14} = \bar{q}_1 q_4, \quad j_{32} = \bar{q}_3 q_2. \]

The following scattering processes are considered:

\[ M_{12} + M_{34} \rightarrow M_{12} + M_{34}; \quad \text{Direct channel I;} \]

\[ M_{14} + M_{32} \rightarrow M_{14} + M_{32}; \quad \text{Direct channel II;} \]

\[ M_{12} + M_{34} \rightarrow M_{14} + M_{32}; \quad \text{Recombination channel.} \]
‘Direct’ 4-point functions

\[ \Gamma^{(\text{dir})}_I = \langle j_{12} j_{34} j_{34}^\dagger j_{12}^\dagger \rangle, \quad \Gamma^{(\text{dir})}_{II} = \langle j_{14} j_{32} j_{32}^\dagger j_{14}^\dagger \rangle. \]

Leading and subleading diagrams for \( \Gamma^{(\text{dir})}_I \):

- \( O(N_c^2) \): (a)
- \( O(N_c^0) \): (b)

Similar diagrams for \( \Gamma^{(\text{dir})}_{II} \).

Only diagram (b) may receive contributions from tetraquark states.

\[ \Gamma^{(\text{dir})}_{I,T} = O(N_c^0), \quad \Gamma^{(\text{dir})}_{II,T} = O(N_c^0). \]
‘Recombination’ 4-point function

\[ \Gamma^{(\text{recomb})} = \langle j_{12} j_{34} j_{32} j_{14} \rangle. \]

Leading and subleading diagrams:

Only diagram (c) may receive contributions from tetraquark states.

\[ \Gamma_T^{(\text{recomb})} = O(N_c^{-1}). \]
Previous diagrams in unfolded form to display color-space topology.
Passage to the \((u, t)\) plane.

Diagrams (a) and (b) are planar. Singularities in \(s\) are obtained by oblique cuts. The latter factorize into two pieces, each contributing to the external meson propagators and vertices. No connected four-particle singularities.

Diagram (c) is non-planar. The \(s\)-channel singularities do not factorize and provide connected four-particle singularities.
We have found that the direct and recombination amplitudes have different behaviors in $N_c$:

$$\Gamma_{I,T}^{(\text{dir})} = O(N_c^0), \quad \Gamma_{II,T}^{(\text{dir})} = O(N_c^0), \quad \Gamma_T^{(\text{recomb})} = O(N_c^{-1}).$$

The solution requires the contribution of two different tetraquarks, $T_A$ and $T_B$, each having different couplings to the meson pairs.

One finds for the tetraquark – two-meson transition amplitudes:

$$A(T_A \rightarrow M_{12}M_{34}) = O(N_c^{-1}), \quad A(T_A \rightarrow M_{14}M_{32}) = O(N_c^{-2}),$$

$$A(T_B \rightarrow M_{12}M_{34}) = O(N_c^{-2}), \quad A(T_B \rightarrow M_{14}M_{32}) = O(N_c^{-1}).$$

Total widths:

$$\Gamma(T_A) = O(N_c^{-2}), \quad \Gamma(T_B) = O(N_c^{-2}).$$
The meson-meson scattering amplitudes at the tetraquark poles (leading contributions):

(a) $O(N_c^{-2})$

(b) $O(N_c^{-2})$

(c) $O(N_c^{-3})$

(d) $O(N_c^{-3})$
One may also extract, from the previous results and the color structure of the intermediate states in the Feynman diagrams, the flavor structure of each of the tetraquarks $T_A$ and $T_B$. In particular, the intermediate states are characterized by color-exchange between the quarks.

$$T_A \sim (\bar{q}_1 q_4)(\bar{q}_3 q_2), \quad T_B \sim (\bar{q}_1 q_2)(\bar{q}_3 q_4).$$

Mixings of order $1/N_c$ between the two configurations are possible. Favors a color singlet-singlet structure of the tetraquarks.
Cryptoexotic tetraquarks

Three distinct quark flavors, denoted 1,2,3, with meson currents

\[ j_{12} = \bar{q}_1 q_2, \quad j_{23} = \bar{q}_2 q_3, \quad j_{22} = \bar{q}_2 q_2. \]

The following scattering processes are considered:

\[ M_{12} + M_{23} \rightarrow M_{12} + M_{23}; \quad \text{Direct channel I;} \]

\[ M_{13} + M_{22} \rightarrow M_{13} + M_{22}; \quad \text{Direct channel II;} \]

\[ M_{12} + M_{23} \rightarrow M_{13} + M_{22}; \quad \text{Recombination channel.} \]
‘Direct’ 4-point functions

\[ \Gamma_{I}^{(dir)} = \langle j_{12} j_{23} j_{23}^\dagger j_{12}^\dagger \rangle, \quad \Gamma_{II}^{(dir)} = \langle j_{13} j_{22} j_{22}^\dagger j_{13}^\dagger \rangle. \]

Leading and subleading diagrams for \( \Gamma_{I}^{(dir)} \):

Diagram (b) receives contributions from one-meson intermediate states.
Diagram (c) may receive contributions from tetraquark intermediate states.
Diagram (d) describes possible mixing of one-meson–one tetraquark states.
Leading and subleading diagrams for $\Gamma^{(dir)}_{II}$:

Diagram (b) may receive contributions from tetraquark intermediate states.

$$\Gamma^{(dir)}_{II,T} = O(N_c^0).$$
‘Recombination’ 4-point function

\[ \Gamma^{(\text{recomb})} = \langle j_{12} j_{23} j_{13}^\dagger j_{22}^\dagger \rangle. \]

Leading and subleading diagrams:

Diagrams (c) and (d) may receive contributions from tetraquark intermediate states.

\[ \Gamma_T^{(\text{recomb})} = O(N_c^0). \]
'Direct' and 'recombination' diagrams have the same $N_c$-behavior in the present case:

\[ \Gamma_{I,T}^{(\text{dir})} = O(N_c^0), \quad \Gamma_{II,T}^{(\text{dir})} = O(N_c^0), \quad \Gamma_T^{(\text{recomb})} = O(N_c^0). \]

A single tetraquark $T$ may accommodate all channels.

\[ A(T \to M_{12} M_{23}) = O(N_c^{-1}), \quad A(T \to M_{13} M_{22}) = O(N_c^{-1}). \]

Total width:

\[ \Gamma(T) = O(N_c^{-2}). \]
The meson-meson scattering amplitudes at the tetraquark pole:

(a) $O(N_c^{-2})$

(b) $O(N_c^{-2})$

(c) $O(N_c^{-2})$
Open channels

Three distinct quark flavors, denoted 1, 2, 3, with meson currents

\[ j_{12} = \bar{q}_1 q_2, \quad j_{32} = \bar{q}_3 q_2. \]

The following scattering process is considered:

\[ M_{12} + M_{32} \rightarrow M_{12} + M_{32}. \]

Here, the ‘direct’ and ‘recombination’ channels are identical.
Leading and subleading diagrams:

Only diagram (c) may receive contributions from tetraquark intermediate states.

\[
A(T \rightarrow M_{12} M_{32}) = O(N_c^{-1}), \quad \Gamma(T) = O(N_c^{-2}).
\]
Conclusion

Analysis of the $s$-channel singularities of Feynman diagrams crucial for the detection of the possible presence of tetraquark intermediate states in correlation functions of meson currents.

If tetraquarks exist as stable bound states of two quarks and two antiquarks in the large-$N_c$ limit, with finite masses, due to the operating confining forces, then they should have narrow decay widths, of the order of $N_c^{-2}$, much smaller than those of ordinary mesons ($\sim N_c^{-1}$).

For the fully exotic channel, with four different quark flavors, two different tetraquarks are needed to accommodate the theoretical constraints of the large-$N_c$ limit. In this case, each tetraquark is built through a color singlet-singlet configuration and has one predominant decay channel.